

**BASIS OF AWARD**

(To be completed by County evaluation committee)  
 (100 Point total will be used to determine the Award)

The County will select the vendor deemed most advantageous to the  
 County, based on price and other factors considered.

**RFP-15-035 County-Wide Engineering - Pennoni Associates**

<b>EVALUATION FACTORS</b>		<b>SCORE</b>
Points awarded will be based on the information contained in the technical proposal, any supplemental information obtained and information gathered during the interview, if one is conducted.		
<b>A.</b>	<b>Proposal contains all required checklist information</b> _____ <b>5</b> _____ points All required documentation submitted.	<b>5</b>
<b>B.</b>	<b><u>Relevance and Extent of Qualifications, Experience, and Training of Personnel to be assigned</u></b> Pennoni has provided very experienced and qualified staff for this project outlined in an organizational chart. Ahmad Faqiri, PE has performed very well on several projects for the County and is very aware of our standards. Hugh Dougherty PE also has excellent past experience with County projects. _____ <b>25</b> _____ points	<b>24</b>
<b>C.</b>	<b><u>Relevance and Extent of Similar Engagements performed</u></b> Pennoni has provided a very good listing of projects completed. They have also given very good descriptions on work performed and the approach to the work. Also it corresponds to who in pennoni completed the work. Good bridge and dam experience. _____ <b>25</b> _____ points	<b>24</b>
<b>D.</b>	<b><u>Plan for performing engagement is realistic, thorough, and demonstrates knowledge of requirements and personnel availability</u></b> Pennoni demonstrated a thorough understanding of work to be performed as well as provided a description on how this would be accomplished. _____ <b>25</b> _____ points	<b>23</b>
<b>E.</b>	<b>Reasonableness of Cost Proposal</b> _____ <b>20</b> _____ points Pennoni provided a competitive cost proposal for this project	<b>17</b>
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>93</b>